

Historical Intelligence Report: The Foundational Era of Field-Reversed Configuration Research at Los Alamos National Laboratory (c. 1975-1991)

I. Executive Summary: New Intelligence on Pre-2001 LANL FRC Programs

This report presents newly discovered and synthesized intelligence on the foundational research into Field-Reversed Configurations (FRCs) conducted at Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) prior to 2001. Analysis of the established historical record, which begins with the Field Reversed Experiment-Liner (FRX-L) circa 2001, reveals a significant knowledge gap concerning the origins of this research. This investigation conclusively demonstrates that the well-documented Magnetized Target Fusion (MTF) program of the 2000s was not a novel initiative but the direct culmination of a highly successful, multi-stage research arc conducted from the mid-1970s to the early 1990s.

The core of this foundational work was the Field-Reversed eXperiment (FRX) series of devices—FRX-A, FRX-B, and FRX-C. This programmatic sequence functioned as a systematic technology maturation pipeline that progressively identified and solved the foundational physics challenges of FRC formation, stability, and confinement. These early experiments yielded a series of landmark breakthroughs that established the FRC as a viable plasma confinement concept and formed the scientific bedrock for all subsequent work at the laboratory.

Key intelligence findings from this foundational era include:

- **Anomalous Stability:** The initial FRX-A and FRX-B experiments discovered that FRC plasmas were macroscopically stable for periods up to one hundred times longer than predicted by magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) theory, establishing the unique and favorable characteristics of the configuration.
- **Identification and Suppression of the Rotational Instability:** These early devices

identified the destructive $n=2$ (elliptical) rotational instability as the primary event terminating FRC lifetime. The subsequent FRX-C experiment achieved a pivotal breakthrough by demonstrating the complete suppression of this mode using weak, externally applied quadrupole magnetic fields, extending FRC lifetimes to over 300 μ s.

- **Establishment of Confinement Scaling:** The FRX-C experiment, by virtue of its increased scale over its predecessors, provided the first definitive evidence of a favorable particle confinement scaling law ($TN \propto R^2$), suggesting that confinement would improve significantly in larger, reactor-scale devices.
- **Demonstration of FRC Translation:** The FRX-C device was modified into FRX-C/T to include a translation section, successfully demonstrating for the first time at LANL that a stable FRC could be formed and moved over long distances into a separate chamber. This result was the essential engineering proof-of-concept that validated the entire architectural paradigm of the later MTF program, which relied on separating plasma formation from liner compression.

This entire body of work was codified by LANL physicist M. Tuszewski in his canonical 1988 *Nuclear Fusion* review article. The successful resolution of these fundamental physics questions provided the essential scientific proof-of-concept and institutional confidence required for LANL to later pursue the high-risk, high-density MTF concept embodied by FRX-L.

II. Master Timeline of Foundational LANL Field-Reversed Theta-Pinch Research (1975-1991)

The following timeline provides a chronological framework of the key experiments, publications, and scientific milestones of the pre-FRX-L era of FRC research at Los Alamos. This timeline establishes the progression from initial exploratory experiments to advanced studies in stability, confinement scaling, and translation.

Date/Timeframe	Event/Milestone	Significance	Key Personnel
c. 1975-1978	Exploratory field-reversed theta-pinch experiments initiated at Los Alamos Scientific	Marked the beginning of the formal FRC program at the laboratory, building on earlier global observations of	R. K. Linford, W. T. Armstrong

	Laboratory (LASL).	field reversal.	
1978	Publication of IAEA paper on FRX results.	First major international presentation of LANL's FRC experimental findings, detailing stable configurations.	R. K. Linford, W. T. Armstrong
c. 1979	FRX-A operations.	First device in the FRX series; systematically studied FRC equilibrium and stability.	W. T. Armstrong, R. K. Linford
c. 1981	FRX-B operations.	Upgraded experiment to study higher magnetic field physics in the same geometry as FRX-A.	W. T. Armstrong, R. K. Linford
1981	Publication of Armstrong et al. <i>Physics of Fluids</i> paper.	Seminal paper detailing the FRX-A and FRX-B results, including the discovery of anomalous stability and the identification of the n=2 rotational instability.	W. T. Armstrong, R. K. Linford, J. Lipson
c. 1983	FRX-C operations begin.	Major scale-up of the experiment (twice the linear dimensions of FRX-B) to	R. E. Siemon, W. T. Armstrong

		investigate confinement scaling laws.	
1983	Publication of Spencer, Tuszewski, and Linford paper on adiabatic compression.	Established the foundational theoretical scaling laws for FRC compression, the core heating mechanism for the future MTF concept.	R. L. Spencer, M. Tuszewski
c. 1983	FRX-C/T modification and first translation experiments.	FRX-C was modified with a translation section, leading to the first successful demonstration of FRC translation at LANL.	D. J. Rej, M. Tuszewski
c. 1986	Publication of Siemon et al. <i>Fusion Technology</i> paper.	Comprehensive review of the FRX-C experiment, detailing breakthroughs in confinement scaling and stability control.	R. E. Siemon, W. T. Armstrong
1988	Publication of Tuszewski's canonical <i>Nuclear Fusion</i> review article.	Served as the definitive summary of FRC physics, codifying the institutional knowledge gained from the FRX series and forming the scientific bedrock	M. Tuszewski

		for future programs.	
c. 1988	FRX-C/LSM (Large Source Modification) operations.	Further modification to FRX-C to increase the coil diameter, allowing for studies of FRC formation and confinement in a larger volume.	R. E. Siemon, M. Tuszewski
1991	Publication of Tuszewski et al. paper on axial dynamics and stability.	Detailed analysis of FRC stability, including the persistent challenge of confinement degradation during strong axial implosions at high bias fields.	M. Tuszewski, D. P. Taggart

III. Program Dossier: The Field-Reversed Experiments (FRX)

The foundational era of FRC research at Los Alamos was defined by a series of three major experimental devices, collectively known as the Field-Reversed eXperiments (FRX). This programmatic sequence was not a collection of disparate efforts but a deliberate, systematic scientific campaign designed to test the scaling of FRC physics with increasing device size and magnetic field strength. The progression from FRX-A to FRX-B and finally to the significantly larger FRX-C demonstrates a classic national laboratory approach to maturing a novel concept by methodically exploring its underlying physics.

The following table provides a quantitative overview of the evolution of the FRX hardware, illustrating the deliberate scaling strategy employed by the Los Alamos team.

Parameter	FRX-A	FRX-B	FRX-C
Operational Period (approx.)	c. 1979	c. 1981	c. 1983-1988
Theta-Pinch Coil Length	1.0 m	1.0 m	2.0 m
Theta-Pinch Coil Diameter	0.25 m	0.25 m	0.50 m (later 0.70 m as LSM)
Peak External B-Field	0.6 T	1.3 T	0.8 T
Typical Fill Pressure (D2)	0.5-0.9 Pa (4-7 mTorr)	1.2-6.5 Pa (9-49 mTorr)	0.7-2.7 Pa (5-20 mTorr)
Max. Confined Lifetime	~50 μ s	~60 μ s	>300 μ s (with quadrupoles)
Primary Scientific Focus	Equilibrium & Stability	Higher-Field Effects	Confinement Scaling & Stability Control

A. FRX-A (c. 1979) & FRX-B (c. 1981): Initial Explorations and the Rotational Instability

The formal FRC program at Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory (LASL) was initiated in the mid-1970s to systematically investigate the "field-reversed theta pinch," a high-beta compact toroid concept that had been observed sporadically in earlier theta-pinch experiments worldwide.¹ The first dedicated devices, FRX-A and FRX-B, were designed to move beyond anecdotal observations and establish the fundamental equilibrium and stability properties of these configurations.

The hardware for both experiments was centered on a 1.0-meter-long, 0.25-meter-diameter single-turn theta-pinch coil surrounding a quartz discharge tube.³ FRCs were formed by first applying a quasi-steady "bias" magnetic field, pre-ionizing a static fill of deuterium gas, and then rapidly firing a high-voltage capacitor bank to drive a large current through the coil, reversing the direction of the magnetic field.⁴ This process induced a strong toroidal current in the plasma, leading to magnetic reconnection at the ends and the formation of the closed-field-line FRC structure. While FRX-A and FRX-B shared identical coil geometries, FRX-B was powered by a more energetic capacitor bank, allowing for the exploration of higher magnetic fields (1.3 T vs. 0.6 T) and higher plasma densities.³

The primary scientific objective of these initial experiments was to form and characterize FRCs and to determine their gross stability limits.¹ The program yielded two transformative findings that would define the course of FRC research for the next decade.

First, the experiments demonstrated that FRCs possessed a remarkable and unexpected degree of stability. The plasmas remained in a stable equilibrium for up to 50 μ s, a duration that was as much as one hundred times longer than the characteristic Alfvén transit times of the plasma.¹ This was a landmark result. Prevailing MHD theory, which treated the plasma as a simple conducting fluid, predicted that such a high-beta configuration with "bad" magnetic curvature should be violently unstable on very fast timescales. The observed stability of the FRC indicated that other physics, likely related to the large orbits of the ions (kinetic effects), were playing a dominant stabilizing role. This discovery established the FRC as a uniquely promising configuration for magnetic confinement and motivated its continued study.

Second, the experiments definitively identified the event that terminated this stable period: a destructive $n=2$ (elliptical) rotational instability.¹ As the FRC evolved, it would begin to spin about its axis of symmetry, deforming from a circular cross-section into a rotating ellipse. This instability would grow rapidly, eventually driving the plasma into the wall of the discharge tube and destroying the configuration. This finding precisely identified the primary physics obstacle that had to be overcome to extend FRC lifetimes and improve confinement.

The core experimental team for this foundational work, as documented in the seminal 1981 *Physics of Fluids* paper "Field-reversed experiments (FRX) on compact toroids," consisted of W. T. Armstrong, R. K. Linford, J. Lipson, D. A. Platts, and E. G. Sherwood.⁶

B. FRX-C & FRX-C/LSM (c. 1983-1988): Achieving Confinement Scaling and Stability Control

The promising results from FRX-A and FRX-B directly motivated the construction of a

significantly larger and more capable device, FRX-C. With linear dimensions twice those of its predecessors—a 2.0-meter-long, 0.5-meter-diameter coil—the primary mission of FRX-C was to test the crucial question of how FRC confinement scaled with size.³ A later upgrade, the FRX-C/LSM (Large Source Modification), further increased the coil diameter to 0.7 meters to study formation in a larger volume.⁴ The FRX-C program produced two of the most important breakthroughs in the history of FRC research.

The first breakthrough was the experimental validation of a favorable particle confinement scaling law. By comparing data from the larger FRX-C with the earlier FRX-B results, the Los Alamos team demonstrated for the first time that the particle confinement time (TN) scaled approximately with the square of the plasma's major radius (R2).⁹ This

$TN \propto R^2$ scaling was a critical result for the fusion prospects of the FRC. It implied that the dominant particle loss mechanism was a diffusive process, and that confinement could be dramatically improved simply by building larger devices. This finding provided a clear and promising path toward a reactor-relevant configuration.

The second breakthrough was the complete suppression of the lifetime-limiting $n=2$ rotational instability. Building on initial successes in Japan, the FRX-C team demonstrated that applying a weak, steady-state quadrupole magnetic field could entirely stabilize the rotational mode.⁹ This was a transformative achievement. By solving the primary stability problem that had plagued all previous experiments, the team was able to achieve record FRC lifetimes exceeding 300 μ s, an order-of-magnitude improvement over the earlier devices.⁹ This result proved that the FRC was not intrinsically limited by gross instabilities and could, with proper control techniques, be a well-confined plasma.

Despite these successes, the FRX-C experiments also illuminated a persistent challenge that would have direct relevance for future programs. Researchers observed a "systematic degradation of the confinement properties... whenever strong axial implosions occur during plasma formation".³ This phenomenon, which occurred when trying to form FRCs with high initial bias fields, limited the amount of trapped magnetic flux—a key parameter that governs the FRC's temperature and lifetime. This difficulty in trapping sufficient flux during the violent formation phase foreshadowed the core technical challenges that would later confront the high-density FRX-L and FRCHX experiments. The core scientific team for the FRX-C program included key figures such as R. E. Siemon, W. T. Armstrong, M. Tuszewski, R. E. Chrien, and D. J. Rej.⁹

C. FRX-C/T: The Advent of FRC Translation

Following the landmark successes in achieving stable, long-lived FRCs on FRX-C, the

program's next logical step was to determine if these robust plasma objects could be moved. To this end, the device was modified into FRX-C/T by adding a translation region—a metallic vacuum chamber up to 6 meters long equipped with a DC magnetic guide field—onto one end of the theta-pinch formation section.¹⁴ The scientific objective was to demonstrate that an FRC could be formed in the violent, high-voltage theta-pinch environment and then be translated into a separate, quiescent confinement chamber without being destroyed.

The FRX-C/T experiments were a definitive success. The team demonstrated that FRCs could be cleanly launched from the formation section and translated over distances of up to 16 meters with no destructive instabilities or enhanced losses of particles, magnetic flux, or thermal energy.¹⁴ The observed translation dynamics were found to be in excellent agreement with both MHD simulations and the predictions of adiabatic theory.¹⁴

The successful demonstration of FRC translation was far more than an incremental physics achievement; it was the foundational engineering proof-of-concept that validated the entire architectural paradigm of the future Magnetized Target Fusion program. The MTF concept, as envisioned in the late 1990s and executed in the 2000s, was predicated on the physical separation of a "plasma injector" from a "liner implosion system".¹⁶ This modular architecture, which separates the violent plasma formation from the even more violent liner compression, is physically impossible unless the plasma target can be reliably moved from one chamber to the other. The success of FRX-C/T in the 1980s provided the first, unambiguous proof that the FRC was a sufficiently robust and transportable plasma object to make such an architecture viable. This single result unlocked the design space that would later be occupied by the FRX-L plasma injector and the FRCHX integrated compression experiment. The intellectual and technological lineage is direct and dispositive.

IV. Key Scientific Contributors of the Foundational Era

The success of the foundational FRC program at Los Alamos was driven by a core group of physicists and theorists who designed the experiments, interpreted the results, and synthesized the new knowledge into a coherent scientific framework. Analysis of the authorship of seminal publications from this era reveals the distinct and critical roles played by these key individuals.

A. W. T. Armstrong & R. K. Linford: The Experimental Pioneers

The initial experimental thrust of the FRX program was led by W. T. Armstrong and R. K. Linford. Their leadership is established by their primary authorship on the 1978 International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) conference paper and the canonical 1981 *Physics of Fluids* article that first detailed the results from the FRX-A and FRX-B experiments.⁶ Their work was responsible for the systematic characterization of the basic FRC equilibrium and, most importantly, for the discovery of the FRC's anomalous stability and the identification of the $n=2$ rotational mode as its primary stability limit. This foundational experimental work established the key physics questions that the rest of the program would be dedicated to answering.

B. R. E. Siemon: Leadership in Confinement and Stability Studies

As the program transitioned to the larger, more capable FRX-C device, R. E. Siemon emerged as a key leader of the experimental team. His role is evidenced by his lead authorship on the comprehensive 1986 *Fusion Technology* paper reviewing the major results from FRX-C.⁹ His work oversaw the two most significant scientific breakthroughs of the era: the demonstration of the favorable

R2 particle confinement scaling law and the successful suppression of the $n=2$ rotational instability using quadrupole fields. These achievements, accomplished under his leadership, elevated the FRC from a laboratory curiosity to a serious and credible fusion confinement concept.

C. M. Tuszewski: The Theoretical Anchor and Synthesizer

The role of M. Tuszewski was unique and indispensable, spanning the entire programmatic arc from fundamental theory to experimental execution and the final synthesis of knowledge. His continuous, high-level involvement across two decades and multiple experimental generations establishes him as the primary vector for the transfer of institutional knowledge at Los Alamos, serving as the intellectual bridge between the foundational physics of the 1980s and the applied mission of the 2000s.

His contributions began at the theoretical level. His co-authorship of the 1983 paper on the adiabatic compression of FRCs established the fundamental scaling laws for the very heating mechanism that the MTF concept would later seek to exploit.¹⁶ Simultaneously, he was a key experimentalist and theorist on the FRX-C and FRX-C/T programs, co-authoring critical

papers on stability and axial dynamics that documented the program's major breakthroughs.¹⁵

Crucially, Tuszewski then synthesized this decade of experimental and theoretical progress into his canonical 1988 review article in *Nuclear Fusion*.²⁰ This paper became the definitive summary of the state of knowledge on FRC equilibrium, stability, formation, and transport, effectively codifying the institutional expertise that LANL had cultivated. This single document served as the scientific bedrock upon which future programs were built.

Finally, his direct involvement continued into the modern era, as evidenced by his co-authorship on the foundational papers describing the FRX-L experiment in the mid-2000s.¹⁶ This unbroken chain of involvement demonstrates that Tuszewski did not merely contribute to one era of research; he personally ensured that the hard-won lessons from the FRX-C experiments were not lost, but were instead directly incorporated into the design and objectives of the FRX-L program.

V. Analysis of Intellectual and Technological Lineage to FRX-L

The foundational research conducted on the FRX series from 1975 to 1991 did not simply predate the post-2001 MTF program; it was its direct and essential scientific prerequisite. A detailed analysis reveals a clear intellectual and technological lineage, demonstrating how the successes of the early, low-density physics experiments provided the necessary confidence and capabilities to pursue the ambitious, high-density MTF mission.

A. From Confinement Physics to an MTF Target: A Strategic Pivot in Parameter Space

The scientific objectives of the FRX-C program and the later FRX-L program were fundamentally different, representing a strategic pivot in the application of FRC physics. The primary goal of the FRX-C program was to maximize the plasma confinement time (τ) at relatively low densities ($n \approx 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-3}$).⁹ The objective was to prove that the FRC was a stable magnetic confinement concept, competitive with other approaches like tokamaks and stellarators, by achieving the longest possible plasma lifetimes.

In contrast, the MTF mission, for which FRX-L was the plasma source, had a completely

different set of requirements. The concept involved the rapid compression of the FRC by an imploding metal liner on a timescale of only $\sim 20 \mu\text{s}$.¹⁷ This short timescale relaxed the need for extremely long plasma confinement. However, to achieve a significant fusion burn during such a brief implosion, the target plasma needed to be extremely dense ($n \approx$

10^{17} cm^{-3}), two orders of magnitude higher than the typical operating regime of FRX-C.

This represents a deliberate strategic pivot. The success of the FRX-C program in demonstrating the fundamental stability, controllability, and translatability of the FRC gave LANL leadership the scientific confidence to re-purpose the configuration for this entirely new, high-density, short-pulse application. The foundational knowledge base was transferred, but the mission objective was pivoted from long-duration magnetic confinement to a pulsed, high-density target for magneto-inertial fusion.

B. How FRX-C Enabled FRX-L: A Direct Transfer of Capability and Knowledge

The design and objectives of the FRX-L experiment were directly enabled by the specific capabilities and knowledge developed during the FRX-C era.

- **Formation Technology:** FRX-L employed the same fundamental "field-reversed theta pinch" technology that was pioneered and perfected on the FRX series. The high-voltage pulsed power systems, theta-pinch coils, and pre-ionization techniques used on FRX-L were a direct evolution of the hardware and methods developed for FRX-A, B, and C.⁴
- **Stability Control:** The knowledge that the destructive $n=2$ rotational instability was not a fundamental limit but could be controlled with external magnetic fields was a critical prerequisite for designing a stable and reliable FRC plasma injector like FRX-L.
- **Translation Capability:** As previously analyzed, the successful demonstration of FRC translation on FRX-C/T was the single most important enabler for the entire MTF architectural concept that FRX-L was designed to serve. Without this proven capability, the concept of a separate plasma injector and compression chamber would have been purely theoretical.
- **Human Capital:** The direct and continuous involvement of key personnel, most notably M. Tuszewski, in both the FRX-C and FRX-L programs demonstrates a direct and deliberate transfer of human expertise and institutional memory.¹⁶ This ensured that the lessons of the foundational era were not re-learned, but were instead built upon.

VI. Conclusion: Codifying the Foundational Bedrock of

LANL FRC Research

The pre-2001 Field-Reversed eXperiment (FRX) program at Los Alamos National Laboratory represents a model of systematic, mission-oriented scientific inquiry. This foundational research era, spanning from the mid-1970s to the early 1990s, successfully transformed the Field-Reversed Configuration from a poorly understood plasma phenomenon into a well-characterized, stable, and translatable compact toroid with a credible potential for fusion energy applications.

The programmatic progression from FRX-A through FRX-C was a deliberate and logical campaign to explore and understand the fundamental physics of the FRC. This effort yielded a series of critical breakthroughs, including the discovery of anomalous stability far exceeding MHD predictions, the identification and subsequent suppression of the primary rotational instability, the establishment of a favorable confinement scaling law, and the first demonstration of FRC translation.

This body of work, conducted by pioneers such as W. T. Armstrong, R. K. Linford, R. E. Siemon, and codified by the theoretical and synthetic work of M. Tuszewski, did not merely predate the modern Magnetized Target Fusion program. It constituted its essential scientific and technical prerequisite. The FRX-L experiment, which began operations around 2001, was not the beginning of FRC research at Los Alamos; it was the beginning of the application of this mature plasma concept to a new, high-density mission, built squarely on the robust and comprehensive bedrock of knowledge established by the FRX-A, B, and C experiments.

VII. Appendix: Source Compendium

- Armstrong, W. T., R. K. Linford, J. Lipson, D. A. Platts, and E. G. Sherwood. "Field-reversed experiments (FRX) on compact toroids." *Physics of Fluids* 24, no. 11 (1981): 2068-2089. ⁶
- Intrator, T. P., J. Y. Park, J. H. Degnan, et al. "A High-Density Field Reversed Configuration Plasma for Magnetized Target Fusion." *IEEE Transactions on Plasma Science* 32, no. 1 (2004): 152-160. ¹⁶
- Linford, R. K., W. T. Armstrong, D. A. Platts, and E. G. Sherwood. "Field-Reversed Theta Pinch." In *Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research 1978*, Vol. II, IAEA-CN-37/S-1-1. Vienna: IAEA, 1979. ¹⁸
- Rej, D. J., W. T. Armstrong, R. E. Chrien, et al. "Experimental studies of field-reversed configuration translation." *Physics of Fluids* 29, no. 3 (1986): 852-862. ¹⁴
- Siemon, R. E., W. T. Armstrong, D. C. Barnes, et al. "Review of the Los Alamos FRX-C experiment." *Fusion Technology* 9, no. 1 (1986): 13-28. ⁹

- Spencer, R. L., M. Tuszewski, and R. K. Linford. "Adiabatic compression of elongated field-reversed configurations." *Physics of Fluids* 26, no. 6 (1983): 1564-1568. ¹⁶
- Taccetti, J. M., T. P. Intrator, G. A. Wurden, et al. "FRX-L: A field-reversed configuration plasma injector for magnetized target fusion." *Review of Scientific Instruments* 74, no. 10 (2003): 4314-4323. ¹⁷
- Tuszewski, M. "Field reversed configurations." *Nuclear Fusion* 28, no. 11 (1988): 2033. ²⁰
- Tuszewski, M., D. P. Taggart, R. E. Chrien, D. J. Rej, R. E. Siemon, and B. L. Wright. "Axial dynamics in field-reversed theta pinches. I: Formation." *Physics of Fluids B: Plasma Physics* 3, no. 10 (1991): 2844-2855. ³
- Weber, T. E., T. P. Intrator, and R. J. Smith. "Plasma-gun-assisted field-reversed configuration formation in a conical θ -pinch." *Physics of Plasmas* 22, no. 4 (2015): 042518. ¹⁶
- Wurden, G. A., K. F. Schoenberg, R. E. Siemon, et al. "Magnetized target fusion: a burning FRC plasma in an imploded metal can." *Journal of Plasma and Fusion Research SERIES 2* (1999): 238-241. ²⁷

Works cited

1. Field-reversed configuration research at Los Alamos | Request PDF - ResearchGate, accessed September 8, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/230958137_Field-reversed_configuration_research_at_Los_Alamos
2. Full article: A Historical Perspective of Controlled Thermonuclear Research at Los Alamos: 1946–1990 - Taylor & Francis Online, accessed September 8, 2025, <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/15361055.2024.2352662>
3. Axial dynamics in field-reversed theta pinches. I: Formation, accessed September 8, 2025, https://pubs.aip.org/aip/pfb/article-pdf/3/10/2844/12765289/2844_1_online.pdf
4. Field-reversed configuration - Wikipedia, accessed September 8, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Field-reversed_configuration
5. Numerical and experimental efforts to explain delayed gas breakdown in θ -pinch devices with bias magnetic field, accessed September 8, 2025, <https://eplab.ae.illinois.edu/Publications/AIAA-2012-3929.pdf>
6. Field-reversed experiments (FRX) on compact toroids (Journal, accessed September 8, 2025, <https://www.osti.gov/biblio/6062472>
7. Formation of Field Reversed Configuration (FRC) on ... - Researching, accessed September 8, 2025, <https://m.researching.cn/articles/OJ94b95d38ebd67bdd/referenceandcitations>
8. Plasma-gun-assisted field-reversed configuration ... - AIP Publishing, accessed September 8, 2025, https://pubs.aip.org/aip/pop/article-pdf/doi/10.1063/1.4919262/14018408/042518_1_online.pdf
9. Review of the Los Alamos FRX-C experiment - UNT Digital Library, accessed September 8, 2025, <https://digital.library.unt.edu/ark:/67531/metadc1088364/>

10. Electron energy confinement in field reversed configuration plasmas - ResearchGate, accessed September 8, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/230958588_Electron_energy_confinement_in_field_reversed_configuration_plasmas
11. Particle Confinement Scaling in Field-Reversed Configurations | Phys. Rev. Lett., accessed September 8, 2025, <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.50.1787>
12. Quadrupole Stabilization of the Rotational Instability of a Field-Reversed Theta-Pinch Plasma | Phys. Rev. Lett. - Physical Review Link Manager, accessed September 8, 2025, <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.51.1042>
13. Proceedings of the Fifth Symposium on the Physics and Technology of Compact Toroids November 16-18, 1982 MASTF1 - INIS-IAEA, accessed September 8, 2025, <https://inis.iaea.org/records/h09cz-1zp34/files/16047814.pdf?download=1>
14. W. T. Armstrong's research works | Los Alamos National Laboratory and other places, accessed September 8, 2025, <https://www.researchgate.net/scientific-contributions/W-T-Armstrong-74954498>
15. Design and Construction Details of the FRX-C/T Device: A Compact Toroid Plasma Translation Experiment - OSTI.GOV, accessed September 8, 2025, <https://www.osti.gov/servlets/purl/6212311>
16. LANL FRC Research History Analysis.pdf
17. FRX-L: A Field-Reversed Configuration Plasma Injector for Magnetized Target Fusion, accessed September 8, 2025, <http://fusionenergy.lanl.gov/Documents/FRX-L-MachinePaper-RSI-July2003.pdf>
18. PLASMA JWSICS LABORATORY - INIS-IAEA, accessed September 8, 2025, <https://inis.iaea.org/records/hn1fj-kza91/files/12614824.pdf?download=1>
19. Observations of tilt instabilities in field-reversed configurations of a confined plasma | Phys. Rev. Lett. - Physical Review Link Manager, accessed September 8, 2025, <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.66.711>
20. Field reversed configurations - SciSpace, accessed September 8, 2025, <https://scispace.com/pdf/field-reversed-configurations-220quo2jim.pdf>
21. Field reversed configurations (Journal Article) | ETDEWEB - OSTI.GOV, accessed September 8, 2025, <https://www.osti.gov/etdeweb/biblio/6202619>
22. (PDF) High-density field-reversed configuration plasma for magnetized target fusion, accessed September 8, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/3166313_High-density_field-reversed_configuration_plasma_for_magnetized_target_fusion
23. FRX-L: A Plasma Injector for Magnetized Target Fusion, accessed September 8, 2025, <https://fusionenergy.lanl.gov/Documents/FRX-L-Flyer.pdf>
24. — - 1979 Sherwood (Deeting —, accessed September 8, 2025, <https://www.sherwoodtheory.org/proceedings/1979%20April%2018-20.pdf>
25. plasma physics and controlled nuclear fusion research 1982 - INIS-IAEA, accessed September 8, 2025, https://inis.iaea.org/collection/NCLCollectionStore/_Public/14/797/14797592.pdf
26. FRX-L: A field-reversed configuration plasma injector for magnetized target fusion, accessed September 8, 2025, <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/FRX-L%3A-A-field-reversed-configuration>

[n-plasma-for-Taccetti-Intrator/fea8cd3b9e356cc529060ea12b91d75852f3bb00](#)

27. Magnetized Target Fusion: A Burning FRC Plasma in an Imploded ..., accessed September 8, 2025, https://www.jspf.or.jp/JPFRS/PDF/Vol2/jpfrs1999_02-238.pdf
28. Formation of Field Reversed Configuration (FRC) on the Yingguang-I device - AIP Publishing, accessed September 8, 2025, https://pubs.aip.org/aip/mre/article-pdf/2/5/263/13684854/263_1_online.pdf